

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|---------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/217,740 | | 12/21/1998 | MIN CAO | 10961260-1 | 4031 |
| 22878 | 7590 | 12/30/2002 | | | |
| | | OLOGIES, INC. | EXAMINER | | |
| INTELLEC P.O. BOX 7 | | ROPERTY ADMINI | OWENS, DOUGLAS W | | |
| M/S DL429 | | | | | |
| LOVELAN | D, CO 8 | 0537-0599 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 2811 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/30/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| - | ' | | TIM TIM | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | , | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | | 09/217,740 | CAO ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Douglas W Owens | 2811 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. Preply | pears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondenc address | | | | |
| THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a r ly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 | October 2002 . | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) Th | nis action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)🛛 | Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-6 is/are pending in the app | olication. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)🖂 | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-6</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| | on Papers | · | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 7 | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12)[T | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a)[| ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received in A | pplication No | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| 14) 🗌 A | cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | ic priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | |
| _ | ☐ The translation of the foreign language procedure. The translation of the foreign language procedure. | • | 00.400 | | | | |
| Attachment | (s) | | Č | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of I | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev | | ction Summary | Part of Paper No. 25 | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/217,740

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent No. 4,685,198 to Kawakita et al.

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 5, Kawakita et al. teaches a semiconductor isolation structure comprising (Fig. 2j):

a substrate;

a first and second device formed within the surface of the substrate:

an isolation region between the first and second devices, the isolation region comprising:

a deep region, comprising an oxide (42) with a cross sectional area;

a single shallow region which extends to the surface of the substrate, the shallow region comprising:

a protective outer wall (30) adjacent the substrate;

an inner sealing wall (32) exclusively within the shallow region and adjacent the protective outer wall;

wherein the shallow region has a shallow cross-sectional area; and

Art Unit: 2811

the deep region has a cross-sectional area that is greater than that of the shallow region, the deep region abutting only a single shallow region.

Regarding claims 4 and 6, Kawakita teaches an isolation structure, wherein the protective outer wall comprises an oxide and the inner sealing wall comprises a nitride.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed October 23, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., deep oxide regions that are not in contact with other deep regions) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Kawakita et al. teaches several deep regions that are directly connected to other deep regions, wherein each of the deep regions abuts a single shallow region.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/217,740

Art Unit: 2811

Page 4

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas W Owens whose telephone number is 703-308-6167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 703-308-2772. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

DWO

December 24, 2002

Steven Loke Primary Examiner